610 – R7 Psychology 610

Colleen F. Moore University of Wisconsin

Designs with Nested Random Factors (between-groups) ANOVA in R (Equal or Balanced N only!!!)

This tutorial uses the data in "KeppelTable25.2top.xls".

The aov methods described here work ONLY for balanced designs (equal cell n's will give you a balanced design).

Contents of this handout:

Section 1: Balanced randomized groups design with one fixed variable, one random variable nested in the fixed variable, and individuals randomly assigned to levels of the fixed and random-nested variable.

Section 2: Balanced randomized groups design with two factorial fixed variables, a random variable nested in one of the fixed variables, and individuals randomly assigned to the cells.

Section 1.

I. Bring the data into R.

Type the data in plain text with a header row. My header row called the dv "recall" and the two factors are A and B. I labeled the factors numerically, rather than a1, a2 etc. Numerical labels can be risky because you might analyze them as if they were numbers instead of categories.

Important! Because the 3 levels of B are nested in the two levels of A, I labeled the variable called 'BnestinA' from 1 to 6 instead of from 1 to 3. (I also typed in the a variable called 'B' and labeled it from 1 to 3, but we can ignore B that for now.)

Paste the data to the clipboard. Then tell R this:

```
> your.data=read.table(pipe("pbpaste"),header=T) #This creates a data frame called
"your.data".
```

> vour.data

> your.data							
	recall	А	В	BnestinA			
1	14	1	1	1			
2	15	1	1	1			
3	12	1	1	1			
4	13	1	1	1			
5	13	1	1	1			
6	13	1	2	2			
7	12	1	2	2			
8	15	1	2	2			
9	16	1	2	2			
10	12	1	2	2			
11	15	1	3	3			
12	17	1	3	3			
13	15	1	3	3			
14	16	1	3	3			
15	15	1	3	3			

16	7	2	1	4
17	8	2	1	4
18	7	2	1	4
19	7	2	1	4
20	8	2	1	4
21	8	2	2	5
22	6	2	2	5
23	11	2	2	5
24	11	2	2	5
25	11	2	2	5
26	13	2	3	6
27	10	2	3	6
28	11	2	3	6
29	11	2	3	6
30	10	2	3	6

> attach(your.data) # there are pro's and con's of attaching data or not

> a=factor(A) # make a factor called 'a'

> bnest=factor(BnestinA) # make a factor called 'bnest'. It has 6 levels.

II. Do the anovas, and learn to control what R uses as the error term.

A. Wrong analysis. B nested, but *not* random. This uses the *incorrect* error term for testing factor A.

> wrong.aov=aov(recall~a*bnest) # this will treat the S/ABcells as the error term. Because I labeled the levels of bnest from 1 to 6, R will not try to make an interaction. > summary(wrong.aov,intercept=T)

```
Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
(Intercept) 1 4130.1 4130.1 1982.464 < 2.2e-16 ***
a 1 182.5 182.5 87.616 1.759e-09 ***
bnest 4 47.3 11.8 5.680 0.002308 **
Residuals 24 50.0 2.1
---
Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 `' 1
```

B. Correct analysis by telling R the correct error term to use. The anova table has two sections, one that uses 'bnest' as the error, and one that uses 'within' as the error.
> nestedRand=aov(recall~a+Error(bnest))
> summary(nestedRand)

```
Error: bnest

Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)

a 1 182.533 182.533 15.425 0.01714 *

Residuals 4 47.333 11.833

---

Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 ` ' 1

Error: Within

Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)

Residuals 24 50.000 2.083
```

*** Check that design is balanced!!!

> same.data=data.frame(recall,a,bnest) # make a new dataframe with just the pertinent variables in it, the dv and the factors

```
> !is.list(replications(recall~a+bnest, data=same.data)) # checks balance
[1] TRUE
> replications(recall~a+bnest, data=same.data) # check cell n's
```

```
a bnest
```

```
15 5
```

C. Incorrect analysis as if B were factorial to A.

Note that the SS's for b and a:b add up to 'bnest' in the two analyses that structured the data with B nested in A.

III. Means, residuals, fitted values etc.

```
A. Means, sd's etc.
1. Use 'tapply'.
> tapply(recall, IND = list(a, bnest), mean)
    1 2 3 4 5 6
1 13.4 13.6 15.6 NA NA NA
2 NA NA NA 7.4 9.4 11
> tapply(recall, IND = list(a, bnest), sd)
       1 2 3
                                          5
                                                    6
                                   4
1 1.140175 1.816590 0.8944272
                                  NA
                                          NA
                                                   NA
2
       NA NA NA 0.5477226 2.302173 1.224745
# hmm, looks like the sd's are bouncing around a bit much.
```

> tapply(recall, IND=list(a,bnest),length)
 1 2 3 4 5 6
1 5 5 5 NA NA NA
2 NA NA 5 5 5

2. Use 'model.tables'.

```
> model.tables(nestedRand,"means",se=T)
Tables of means
Grand mean
```

11.73333

```
a

a

1 2

14.200 9.267

Warning message:

In model.tables.aovlist(nestedRand, "means", se = T) :

SEs for type 'means' are not yet implemented
```

> model.tables(nestedRand,se=T) # when "means" isn't specified, it gives est effects. However, we get the est se by asking for the effects

```
Tables of effects

a

a

1 2

2.4667 -2.4667

Standard errors of effects

a

0.8882

replic. 15
```

The estimated se is based on the MSerror for testing factor A, or the MS for B nested in A. Est se = sqrt(MSerror/number of obs in each mean) = sqrt(11.833/15). This is the "pooled" se.

We can use Baron & Li's standard error function to get estimated cell se's. These are not really of interest because b is a nested random factor.

```
> se <- function(x)
{ y <- x[!is.na(x)] # remove the missing values
sqrt(var(as.vector(y))/length(y)) }
> serr=tapply(recall,IND=list(a,bnest),se); serr
```

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6

 1
 0.509902
 0.8124038
 0.4
 NA
 NA
 NA

 2
 NA
 NA
 NA
 0.2449490
 1.029563
 0.5477226

B. Check the model fit by graphing predicted and residuals.

To get the residuals we need to fit the model using 'lm' rather than 'aov'. I'm not sure why, but for a nested design 'aov' will not give pred and residuals.

Here are the statements to do the fit with 'lm'. Notice that 'lm' gives the incorrect F for factor A, as it does not use B-nested-in-A for error.

> lmnest=lm(recall~a+bnest) # fit the linear model, put results in 'lmnest'
> anova(lmnest) # get the anova table of the results
Analysis of Variance Table

Response: recall Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)

```
1 182.533 182.533
                             87.616 1.759e-09 ***
а
          4 47.333 11.833
                              5.680 0.002308 **
bnest
Residuals 24 50.000 2.083
___
Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 ` ' 1
> pred=predict(lmnest) # calc predicted values for the fit saved in 'lmnest'
> res=residuals(lmnest) # calc residuals
> pred # look at the actual numbers to see if they make sense.
1 2
           3
                4
                    5
                          6
                             7 8 9 10 11
                                                       12
                                                                 14
                                                            13
15 16
         17
              18
                   19
                        20
13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.6 13.6 13.6 13.6 13.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6
15.6 7.4 7.4
               7.4 7.4
                        7.4
     22
               24
                    25 26
                               27
                                    28
                                          29
                                               30
 21
          23
                    9.4 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0
 9.4 9.4 9.4 9.4
```

> plot(jitter(pred),jitter(res),main="KeppelTable 25.1 top, individual residuals") # graph predicted vs residuals. Jitter in both directions so the individual points show better. This gives us the individual-level residuals.



KeppelTable 25.1 top, individual residuals

IV. Check some assumptions

Graph the qq-normal plot. The points should lie on the line. The data are off in the tails. > qqnorm(recall)

> qqline(recall)



Normal Q-Q Plot

Section 2.

Now we add another fixed variable that is factorial to a fixed variable. We have one random variable nested, in addition to replications (or individuals) who are randomly assigned to conditions. This section uses data in 'HO#23part2data.xls'. See Handout#23 for more info on the example.

I. Prepare data and bring into R. As in Section I, we label the nested variable, C, not 1 to 4, but 1 to 8 so that the confounding with factor B is clear.

> your.data=read.table(pipe("pbpaste"),header=T)
> your.data
 dv Asentence Bgender CnestedB
1 6 1 1 1
2 5 1 1 2

3	6	1	1	3
4	8	1	1	4
5	8	1	2	5
6	6	1	2	6
7	7	1	2	7
8	9	1	2	8
9	8	1	1	1
10	6	1	1	2
11	7	1	1	3
12	5	1	1	4
13	9	1	2	5
14	7	1	2	6
15	8	1	2	7
16	6	1	2	8
•				
•				
65	7	3	1	1
66	9	3	1	2
67	9	3	1	3
68	10	3	1	4
69	6	3	2	5
70	3	3	2	6
71	6	3	2	7
72	4	3	2	8

II. Do the anova, find means etc. The tricky part is **specifying the error**.

A. The anova

> attach(your.data) # you can use the \$ notation instead of attaching

> Asent=factor(Asentence) # make numerical variables into factors

> Bgend=factor(Bgender) # I always alter the var name when I make a factor. That way both the factora nd my original variable are distinct and I can transfer data easily to another program that wants numerical values for the factor levels.

> CnestB=factor(CnestedB)

> randNest2=aov(dv~Asent*Bgend+Error(CnestB/(Asent))) # we say that CnestB is the error for Asent. This means that R will use the CxA interaction as the error term for A, and will use C as the error for B. This is what we want. *** Always check what ANY anova program is using for the error term!

> summary(randNest2)

```
Error: CnestB

Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)

Bgend 1 18.0000 18.0000 9.3462 0.02231 *

Residuals 6 11.5556 1.9259

---

Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 ` ' 1

Error: CnestB:Asent

Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)

Asent 2 0.08 0.04 0.0206 0.9797

Asent:Bgend 2 326.08 163.04 80.5881 1.107e-07 ***

Residuals 12 24.28 2.02
```

3

Psychology 610 Prof Colleen F. Moore

```
Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 ` ' 1
Error: Within
Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
Residuals 48 128.000 2.667
```

The results show a sig effect of Gender of the example, and a significant interaction of sentence form x gender. We'll graph these below.

Check that design is balanced!!

12

B. Means and standard errors

24

36

```
> model.tables(randNest2,se=T) # this gives estimated effects and se's
Tables of effects
```

9

```
Asent
Asent
    1
            2
                    3
-0.04167 0.04167 0.00000
Bgend
Bgend
     2
 1
-0.5 0.5
Asent:Bgend
   Bgend
Asent 1 2
  1 -0.1250 0.1250
   2 -2.5417 2.5417
   3 2.6667 -2.6667
Standard errors of effects
      Asent Bgend Asent:Bgend
      0.2903 0.2313 0.4106
replic. 24 36
                      12
```

these estimated se's are based on MSerror for that effect divided by sqrt of the number of observations going into the means for that effect.

```
> model.tables(randNest2,"means")
Tables of means
```

Grand mean 6.5 Asent Asent 1 2 3 6.458 6.542 6.500 Bgend Bgend 1 2 6 7 Asent:Bgend Bgend Asent 1 2 1 5.833 7.083 2 3.500 9.583 3 8.667 4.333

Or using 'tapply' to get the two-way means, main effect means and est se's:

```
> tapply(dv, IND=list(Asent,Bgend),length) # check cell n's
   1 2
1 12 12
2 12 12
3 12 12
> tapply(dv, IND=list(Asent,Bgend),mean)
  1 2
1 5.833333 7.083333
2 3.500000 9.583333
3 8.666667 4.333333
> tapply(dv, IND=list(Asent,Bgend),sd)
      1 2
1 1.527525 1.443376
2 1.507557 1.781640
3 1.669694 1.497473
> tapply(dv, IND=list(Asent),mean);tapply(dv, IND=list(Bgend),mean)
     1 2 3
6.458333 6.541667 6.500000
12
```

```
67
```

> tapply(dv, IND=list(Asent),se) # using Baron & Li's se function above we can get estimated se's at any level of the design. HOWEVER, these main effect estimated se's do not take into account the increase in variability due to the presence of the other factor in the design. Therefore, I prefer to use the model-based se's for graphing the main effect means.

1 2 3 0.3240324 0.7146986 0.5516773

> tapply(dv, IND=list(Bgend),se) # See comment above on Asent se's.

```
1 2
0.4382505 0.4436501
```

> tapply(dv, IND=list(Asent,Bgend),se) # these cell sd/sqrt(cell n). Notice they are all larger than the model-based estimate of .4106 given by the 'model.tables' statement. This illustrates that using 'residuals' in a study with a random factor is not quite right.

1 2 1 0.4409586 0.4166667 2 0.4351941 0.5143153 3 0.4819992 0.4322831

C. Find and plot predicted and residual values

R will not calculate predicted values from 'aov' for nested designs, so first we fit the model with 'lm'. I specify the AxB and AxC interaction, but not the BxC interaction because C is nested in B.

```
> lmrandnest = lm(dv~Asent*Bgend+CnestB*Asent)
> anova(lmrandnest)
Analysis of Variance Table
```

```
Response: dv
```

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)					
Asent	2	0.08	0.04	0.0156	0.98450					
Bgend	1	18.00	18.00	6.7500	0.01241	*				
CnestB	6	11.56	1.93	0.7222	0.63372					
Asent:Bgend	2	326.08	163.04	61.1406	6.336e-14	***				
Asent:CnestB	12	24.28	2.02	0.7587	0.68808					
Residuals	48	128.00	2.67							
Signif. codes	5:	0 '***'	0.001	**′ 0.01	·*/ 0.05	1.7	0.1	N	'	1

the SS's are the same, but 'lm' uses the overall residual as the error term for everything.

> res=residuals(lmrandnest) # calculate the residuals

> pred=predict(lmrandnest)



HO#23 part 2 data

The residuals x pred graphs appears pretty random via eyeball.

Normal qq plot:



HO#23 Part 2

D. Graph the main effects and interactions1. Factor A main effects graph:

1. Factor A main effects graph.

> plot.design(dv~Asent*Bgend,data="your.data",main="Main Effects Plot HO#23 part 2") # this shows that Gender of stimulus is having a large main effect compared to Sentence type.



Main Effects Plot HO#23 part 2



2. Boxplots are quick and easy:

> boxplot(dv~Asent,main="HO#23 Part 2 Nested Design", xlab="Factor A",ylab="DV")
> boxplot(dv~Bgend,main="HO#23 Part 2 Nested Design", xlab="Stim
Gender",ylab="DV")

> boxplot(dv~Asent:Bgend,main="HO#23 Part 2 Nested Design", xlab="Treatment Group",ylab="DV")



HO#23 Part 2 Nested Design





3. Interaction plot

> library(sciplot) # activate sciplot in order to use 'lineplot.CI'

> lineplot.CI(Asent, dv, group=Bgend, type="b", legend=TRUE, trace.label="Gender", leg.lab=NULL, fixed=FALSE, x.leg=NULL, y.leg=NULL, cex.leg=1, ncol=1, xlab="A sentence type",ylab="mean errors", pch=c(16, 21, 15, 22, 17, 24, c(3:14)), xlim=NULL, ylim=c(2,12), cex=NULL, lwd=NULL, col="black", cex.axis=1, xaxt="s", data=NULL, subset=NULL, main="HO#23 Part 2 data") #



HO#23 Part 2 data

A sentence type

Now a bar graph:

Enter the function "superpose". We'll use it to make the error bars: > superpose.eb <function (x, y, ebl, ebu = ebl, length = 0.08, ...) arrows(x, y + ebu, x, y - ebl, angle = 90, code = 3, length = length, ...)

Bar graph of the interaction. I built this from scratch by entering the means and the model se's into matrices. That way I can use the model-based se's in the graph. > dvmatrix=matrix(c(5.833,3.5,8.667,7.0833,9.5833,4.333),2,3) # make a matrix of means > sematrix=matrix(c(.4106,.4106,.4106,.4106,.4106,.4106),2,3) #model standard error

est for each mean

> colnames(dvmatrix)=c("Person","Man","Woman") # to get labels on the graph for the levels of the factor, enter row and column names for the matrix containing the means > rownames(dvmatrix)=c("Male stimuli","Female stimuli")

> dvmatrix # show results and check see if I did this the way I wanted to

PersonManWomanMale stimuli5.8338.66709.5833Female stimuli3.5007.08334.3330

> dvplot=barplot(dvmatrix,beside=T,ylim=c(0,12),main="HO#23 Part 2",xlab=" Gender prototype", ylab="mean dv", axes=T, legend.text=T, offset=0, xpd=F) #

> box() # put a box around the plot

> axis(4,labels=F) # add tick marks on the right side

> superpose.eb(dvplot,dvmatrix,sematrix,col="black",lwd=1) # use the 'superpose' error bar function with the values in 'sematrix'



HO#23 Part 2

Gender prototype